



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,790	09/15/2000	Mark Chandler	215063.02301	2090
35617	7590	05/02/2007	EXAMINER	
DAFFER MCDANIEL LLP P.O. BOX 684908 AUSTIN, TX 78768			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 09/662,790	Applicant(s) CHANDLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1631	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 08 January 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The Examiner apologizes for yet another "Notice of Non-Complaint Appeal Brief". However, it has come to the attention of the Examiner that the inclusion of claim 39 in the "Appendix" and in the "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter" is incorrect. On 4 August 2003, claim 39 was withdrawn from examination as it was drawn to a non-elected invention. This claim was withdrawn "without traverse". Subsequently, a brief was filed which included claim 39 in the "Appendix". The Examiner wrote an "Examiner's Answer" to the brief. The Board of Appeals and Patent Interferences sent the appeal brief and Examiner's Answer back stating that the brief was non-compliant for failing to include a summary of claim 39 subject matter, not noticing that claim 39 had been withdrawn. The Examiner sent a Notice of Defective Brief. Applicant has responded appropriately and sent in an amended brief, in entirety. However, the inclusion of claim 39, a withdrawn claim, is not proper and thus, the brief is still held defective. Correction is requested. Again, the Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience to Applicant.

Scott A. Clark
4/24/07
Patent Examiner